

Phenomenological interaction between current quarks

Michihiro HIRATA ¹, Naoto TSUTSUI ²

Department of Physics, Hiroshima University
Higashi-Hiroshima 739, Japan

Abstract

We construct a phenomenological model which describes the dynamical chiral symmetry breaking (DCSB) of QCD vacuum and reproduces meson spectra. Quark condensates, the pion decay constant, and meson spectra are well reproduced by phenomenological interaction which consists of a linear confining potential, a Coulombic potential, and the 't Hooft determinant interaction. In this model, the 't Hooft determinant interaction plays an important role not only η, η' mass difference, but other meson masses through DCSB.

¹e-mail address: hirata@theo.phys.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

²e-mail address: tsutsui@theo.phys.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

It is widely accepted that QCD is the correct theory of strong interactions. However, it is not so easy to treat the hadron-hadron scattering phenomena by QCD directly. Even the lattice QCD may not be able to calculate phase shifts of partial waves in near future, although there is an attempt to give some hadron-hadron scattering lengths. In this situation it has still meaning to model QCD in a way which has the features of QCD to describe hadron-hadron scatterings from the quark freedom.

According to ref.[1], we assume the existence of a set of phenomenological interactions H_{phen}^I such as the residual interaction $H_{QCD}^I - H_{phen}^I$ can be perturbatively treated. There exists at the present time no model reproducing the experimental data and incorporating simultaneously all the non-perturbative phenomena, such as dynamical chiral symmetry breaking (DCSB) or confinement, which are the basic aspects of QCD. The models which incorporate DCSB and confinement by using the instantaneous confinement potential are at odds with phenomenology [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. The covariant models which preserve the confinement give rather good fitting for the quark condensate and the pion decay constant [7], [8]. However, these models must be regularized for the infrared divergence, and furthermore in the covariant models it is very difficult to numerically obtain the quark propagator of the time like region which is need to calculate meson masses.

Because of the difficulty for calculating hadron masses in the covariant way we adopt instantaneous interactions which include the confinement potential, the Coulomb potential with the running coupling constant, and the 't Hooft determinant interaction [9] as the intermediate range interaction. We show here that the 't Hooft determinant interaction makes the important contributions to not only η and η' meson's mass splitting but other meson's masses, because it contributes to DCSB.

The outline of this paper is the following: In section 2, we find the non-perturbative

vacuum using Bogoliubov-Vallatin transformation and write down the Salpeter equation which describes meson states. We also comment renormalization. In section 3, we introduce the 't Hooft determinant interaction as a phenomenological interaction at intermediate range and show our results. Section 4 is devoted to summary.

2 A phenomenological potential model for vacuum and meson spectra

The Hamiltonian for quarks interacting through an instantaneous fourth component potential is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_0 + H_{pot} \\ &= \int d^3x \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) (-i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + \beta \hat{m}) \psi(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \int d^3x \int d^3y \left[\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right] V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \left[\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{y}) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \psi(\mathbf{y}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the row vector $\psi^\dagger$ for three flavour quarks represents $(u^\dagger \ d^\dagger \ s^\dagger)$, $\hat{m}$ is the current mass matrix:

$$\hat{m} = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s), \quad (2)$$

and $\frac{\lambda^a}{2}$ are generators of SU(3) colour group in the fundamental representation.

The potential is the sum of a linear colour-confinement potential and a Coulombic potential with the running coupling constant. In momentum space it is written as follows:

$$V(q) = \frac{8\pi\sigma}{q^4} + \frac{4\pi\alpha(q^2)}{q^2}, \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha(q^2)$ is the running coupling constant which varies with momentum transfer q . According to ref.[10], $\alpha(q^2)$ is chosen such as

$$\alpha(q^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{12\pi}{(33 - 2N_f) \ln q^2 / \Lambda_{QCD}^2} & (q > q_0) \\ \alpha_0 & (q \leq q_0) \end{cases}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$q_0 \equiv \Lambda_{QCD} \exp \frac{6\pi}{(33 - 2N_f)\alpha_0}, \quad (5)$$

and N_f is a number of flavour.

In ordinary perturbation theory, quark fields are expanded in terms of plain wave solutions.

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_s \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left[a(\mathbf{p}, s) u(\mathbf{p}, s) + b^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}, -s) v(-\mathbf{p}, -s) \right] e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad (6)$$

where we suppress the colour, flavour and spinor indices. The vacuum state $|0\rangle$ is defined as follows:

$$a(\mathbf{p}, s)|0\rangle = b(\mathbf{p}, s)|0\rangle = 0. \quad (7)$$

Since all other state vectors are constructed on this vacuum, we call it perturbative vacuum.

To find the lower energy state than the perturbative vacuum, we perform the Bogoliubov-Vallatin transformation, i.e., quark fields are expanded in terms of chiral transformed spinors:

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_s \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left[\alpha(\mathbf{p}, s) U(\mathbf{p}, s) + \beta^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}, -s) V(\mathbf{p}, s) \right] e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$U(\mathbf{p}, s) \equiv \exp(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \theta_p) u(\mathbf{p}, s), \quad (9)$$

$$V(\mathbf{p}, s) \equiv \exp(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \theta_p) v(-\mathbf{p}, -s), \quad (10)$$

and θ_p is the chiral angle which shows amount of chiral symmetry breaking. α and β are annihilation operators of pseudo-particle and pseudo-anti-particle, respectively.

These operators are connected to those of particle as follows:

$$\alpha(\mathbf{p}, s) = \cos \theta_p \cdot a(\mathbf{p}, s) - \sin \theta_p \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot b^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}, -s), \quad (11)$$

$$\beta^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}, -s) = \sin \theta_p \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot a(\mathbf{p}, s) + \cos \theta_p \cdot b^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}, -s). \quad (12)$$

Now, we define the non-perturbative vacuum state $|0\rangle\rangle$, which is the vacuum of pseudo-particle and pseudo-anti-particle as

$$\alpha(\mathbf{p}, s)|0\rangle\rangle = \beta(\mathbf{p}, s)|0\rangle\rangle = 0. \quad (13)$$

It is useful to rewrite the Hamiltonian as the normal order with respect to above operators.

$$H = \varepsilon + :h: + :H_4: , \quad (14)$$

ε is the energy of non-perturbative vacuum:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon = & (-6) \int d^3p [m \sin 2(\theta_p + \delta_p) + p \cos 2(\theta_p + \delta_p)] \\ & + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3p d^3p' V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \\ & - \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3p d^3p' V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \sin 2(\theta_p + \delta_p) \sin 2(\theta_{p'} + \delta_{p'}) \\ & - \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3p d^3p' V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') (\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}') \cos 2(\theta_p + \delta_p) \cos 2(\theta_{p'} + \delta_{p'}), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where the angle δ_p which leads to amounts of explicit chiral symmetry breaking because of the current mass is defined as

$$\sin 2\delta_p \equiv \frac{m}{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}}. \quad (16)$$

h is the generalized one body Hamiltonian including self energy effect:

$$\begin{aligned} h = & \int d^3x \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) (-i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + \beta m) \psi(\mathbf{x}) \\ & + \frac{4}{3} \times \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x d^3y \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} [\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \{1 - 2\Lambda_-(\mathbf{p})\} \psi(\mathbf{y})], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where Λ_+ and Λ_- are positive and negative energy projection operators, respectively.

The angle θ_p is determined so that the energy of non-perturbative vacuum may be lower than that of perturbative vacuum. Using variation principle, we get the gap equation.

$$p \sin \phi_p - m \cos \phi_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2}{3} \sin \phi_p \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cos \phi_k \\
& - \frac{2}{3} \cos \phi_p \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \sin \phi_k \\
& = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\phi_p \equiv 2(\theta_p + \delta_p)$.

The procedure stated so far is independent of the particular potential. Since the gap equation with the Coulombic potential contains divergent integral, we must renormalize wavefunction and mass. The wavefunction and mass renormalization constants are given by

$$Z - 1 = \frac{8}{9\pi} \int_{q_L} dq q^3 \frac{d}{dq^2} \left[\frac{\alpha(q^2)}{q^2} \right], \tag{19}$$

$$Z_m - 1 = -\frac{4}{3\pi} \int_{q_L} dq q \frac{\alpha(q^2)}{q^2}, \tag{20}$$

where we must introduce the lower cut-off q_L to avoid the logarithmic divergence. Hence the renormalized gap equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
& p \sin \phi_p + (Z - 1)p \sin \phi_p \\
& - m \cos \phi_p - (Z_m - 1)m \cos \phi_p \\
& + \frac{2}{3} \sin \phi_p \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cos \phi_k \\
& - \frac{2}{3} \cos \phi_p \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \sin \phi_k \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

If we solve this renormalized gap equation, we can calculate the quark condensate. However, even the quark condensate subtracted the contribution of the explicit chiral symmetry breaking is still divergent. To compare with QCD sum rule results for quark condensates, it is reasonable to introduce the cut-off $\Lambda_c=1\text{GeV}$. In this case the quark condensate is calculated as follows,

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle = 3 \int^{\Lambda_c} \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\sin \phi_p - \frac{m}{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}} \right]. \tag{22}$$

We also make cut-off at 1GeV for the renormalized gap equation.

Next step is to construct a meson state on the non-perturbative vacuum. We consider a meson state which is a bound state of flavour i quark and flavour j anti-quark, and postulate that i is not equal to j . If i is equal to j , we must take the wave function of isospin eigenstate. To calculate meson masses, we have to solve the Salpeter equation at zero center-of-momentum. It is known that the RPA equation is equivalent to the Salpeter equation, but the RPA equations are derived for various type of interactions more easily than the Salpeter equation.

We write down the RPA equations:

$$\langle\langle 0|[H, \hat{X}]|m\rangle\rangle = (E_0 - E_m)\langle\langle 0|\hat{X}|m\rangle\rangle, \quad (23)$$

$$\langle\langle 0|[H, \hat{Y}]|m\rangle\rangle = (E_0 - E_m)\langle\langle 0|\hat{Y}|m\rangle\rangle, \quad (24)$$

where $|0\rangle\rangle$ and $|m\rangle\rangle$ are the non-perturbative vacuum and the meson state, respectively. Inserting the Hamiltonian Eq.(1) to above equations, we obtain the following equations,

$$[E_i(k) + E_j(k)]X(\mathbf{k}) - \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') [X(\mathbf{k}') + Y(\mathbf{k}')] = MX(\mathbf{k}), \quad (25)$$

$$[E_i(k) + E_j(k)]Y(\mathbf{k}) - \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') [X(\mathbf{k}') + Y(\mathbf{k}')] = -MY(\mathbf{k}), \quad (26)$$

where the forward and backward amplitudes of a meson state are defined as

$$X(\mathbf{k}) = \langle\langle 0|\hat{X}|m\rangle\rangle = \sum_{a, S_i, S_j} U^i(-\mathbf{k}) \langle\langle 0|\beta_j^a(\mathbf{k})\alpha_i^a(-\mathbf{k})|m\rangle\rangle V^{j\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}), \quad (27)$$

$$Y(\mathbf{k}) = \langle\langle 0|\hat{Y}|m\rangle\rangle = \sum_{a, S_i, S_j} V^j(-\mathbf{k}) \langle\langle 0|\alpha_i^{a\dagger}(-\mathbf{k})\beta_j^{a\dagger}(\mathbf{k})|m\rangle\rangle U^{i\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}), \quad (28)$$

M is the mass of the meson state, and E_i and E_j are single particle energy of the particle and the anti-particle, respectively. In general above equations depend on the center of mass momentum $\mathbf{P}$ and the relative momentum $\mathbf{k}$. Here we set $\mathbf{P} = 0$. Dirac spinor $U(\mathbf{k})$ and $V(\mathbf{k})$ are eigen-vector of the generalized one body Hamiltonian h in

momentum space:

$$h(\mathbf{k})U(\mathbf{k}) = E(\mathbf{k})U(\mathbf{k}), \quad (29)$$

$$h(\mathbf{k})V(\mathbf{k}) = -E(\mathbf{k})V(\mathbf{k}). \quad (30)$$

The forward and backward amplitudes are connected to the Salpeter amplitude $\chi(\mathbf{k})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\mathbf{k}) &= \Lambda_+^i(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{k})\Lambda_-^j(\mathbf{k}) + \Lambda_-^i(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{k})\Lambda_+^j(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= X(\mathbf{k}) + Y(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Hence the RPA equations are converted to the Salpeter equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &[E_i(k) + E_j(k)] [\Lambda_+^i(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(\mathbf{k})\Lambda_+^j(\mathbf{k})] \\ &- \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') [\Lambda_+^i(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{k}') - \chi(\mathbf{k}')\Lambda_+^j(\mathbf{k})] = M\chi(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and the Salpeter amplitude χ obeys the constraint:

$$\Lambda_+^i(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(\mathbf{k})\Lambda_-^j(\mathbf{k}) = 0. \quad (33)$$

To reduce the Salpeter equation, we expand the Salpeter amplitude in terms of a complete set of Dirac matrices [3]

$$\chi(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_i(\mathbf{k})\rho_i + \vec{\mathcal{N}}_0(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \vec{\sigma} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \vec{\mathcal{N}}_i(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \rho_i \vec{\sigma}. \quad (34)$$

The constraint Eq.(33) demands

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\mathbf{k}) = i \frac{\sin \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} \vec{\mathcal{N}}_2(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (35)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\cos \phi_+}{\sin \phi_+} \vec{\mathcal{N}}_1(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (36)$$

$$\vec{\mathcal{N}}_3(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\cos \phi_+}{\sin \phi_+} \mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{k})\hat{\mathbf{k}} - i \frac{\sin \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} \vec{\mathcal{N}}_1(\mathbf{k}) \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (37)$$

$$\vec{\mathcal{N}}_0(\mathbf{k}) = i \frac{\sin \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbf{k})\hat{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{\cos \phi_+}{\sin \phi_+} \vec{\mathcal{N}}_2(\mathbf{k}) \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (38)$$

The general form of χ satisfying the constraint becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\mathbf{k}) &= \mathcal{L}_1 \left[\rho_1 - \frac{\cos \phi_+}{\sin \phi_+} \rho_3 \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right] \\
&+ \mathcal{L}_2 \left[\rho_2 + i \frac{\sin \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right] \\
&+ \vec{\mathcal{N}}_1 \cdot \left[\rho_1 \vec{\sigma} - \frac{\cos \phi_+}{\sin \phi_+} \rho_3 \hat{\mathbf{k}} + i \frac{\sin \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} (\rho_3 \vec{\sigma} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \right] \\
&+ \vec{\mathcal{N}}_2 \cdot \left[\rho_2 \vec{\sigma} + \frac{\cos \phi_+}{\sin \phi_+} (\vec{\sigma} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}) + i \frac{\sin \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right]. \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

The part of $\Lambda_+^i \chi' - \chi' \Lambda_+^j$ in the left-hand side of Eq.(32) is written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda_+^i(\mathbf{k}) \chi(\mathbf{k}') - \chi(\mathbf{k}') \Lambda_+^j(\mathbf{k}) \\
&= \left\{ -i \sin \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \mathcal{L}'_2 - \sin \phi_+ \sin \phi_- (\vec{\mathcal{N}}'_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \right\} \left[\rho_1 - \frac{\cos \phi_+}{\sin \phi_+} \rho_3 \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right] \\
&+ \left\{ i \sin \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \mathcal{L}'_1 - i \cos \phi_+ \cos \phi_- (\vec{\mathcal{N}}'_3 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \right\} \left[\rho_2 + i \frac{\sin \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right] \\
&+ \left\{ -i \sin \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \vec{\mathcal{N}}'_2 - \sin \phi_+ \sin \phi_- \mathcal{L}'_0 \hat{\mathbf{k}} - i \cos \phi_+ \cos \phi_- (\vec{\mathcal{N}}'_0 \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\rho_1 \vec{\sigma} - \frac{\cos \phi_+}{\sin \phi_+} \rho_3 \hat{\mathbf{k}} + i \frac{\sin \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} (\rho_3 \vec{\sigma} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \right] \\
&+ \left\{ i \sin \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \vec{\mathcal{N}}'_1 - i \cos \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \mathcal{L}'_3 \hat{\mathbf{k}} + \sin \phi_+ \sin \phi_- (\vec{\mathcal{N}}'_3 \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\rho_2 \vec{\sigma} + \frac{\cos \phi_+}{\sin \phi_+} (\vec{\sigma} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}) + i \frac{\sin \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right], \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi_{\pm} \equiv \frac{\phi_i \pm \phi_j}{2}, \tag{41}$$

and the functions with the prime depend on $\mathbf{k}'$.

Then the Salpeter equation is reduced to coupled equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{E_i + E_j}{2} \frac{\sin \phi_+}{\cos \phi_-} \mathcal{L}_2 \\
&+ \frac{2}{3} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \left[\sin \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \mathcal{L}'_2 + \sin \phi_+ \sin \phi_- \frac{\sin \phi'_-}{\cos \phi'_-} \mathcal{L}'_2 \hat{\mathbf{k}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right. \\
&+ \left. i \sin \phi_+ \sin \phi_- \frac{\cos \phi'_+}{\sin \phi'_+} (\vec{\mathcal{N}}'_2 \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}') \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right] = \frac{M}{2i} \mathcal{L}_1, \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{E_i + E_j}{2} \frac{\cos \phi_-}{\sin \phi_+} \mathcal{L}_1 \\
& - \frac{2}{3} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \left[\sin \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \mathcal{L}'_1 + \cos \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \frac{\cos \phi'_+}{\sin \phi'_+} \mathcal{L}'_1 \hat{\mathbf{k}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right. \\
& + \left. i \cos \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \frac{\sin \phi'_-}{\cos \phi'_-} (\vec{\mathcal{N}}'_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}') \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right] = \frac{M}{2i} \mathcal{L}_2, \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{E_i + E_j}{2} \left[-\frac{\cos \phi_-}{\sin \phi_+} \vec{\mathcal{N}}_2 + \left\{ \frac{\cos^2 \phi_+ \cos \phi_-}{\sin \phi_+} - \frac{\sin \phi_+ \sin^2 \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} \right\} (\vec{\mathcal{N}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right] \\
& - \frac{2}{3} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \left[-\sin \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \vec{\mathcal{N}}'_2 + \cos \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \frac{\cos \phi'_+}{\sin \phi'_+} (\vec{\mathcal{N}}'_2 \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}') \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right. \\
& - \left. \sin \phi_+ \sin \phi_- \frac{\sin \phi'_-}{\cos \phi'_-} (\vec{\mathcal{N}}'_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}') \hat{\mathbf{k}} - i \cos \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \frac{\sin \phi'_-}{\cos \phi'_-} \mathcal{L}'_2 \hat{\mathbf{k}}' \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right] = \frac{M}{2i} \vec{\mathcal{N}}_1, \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{E_i + E_j}{2} \left[\frac{\sin \phi_+}{\cos \phi_-} \vec{\mathcal{N}}_1 + \left\{ \frac{\cos^2 \phi_+ \cos \phi_-}{\sin \phi_+} - \frac{\sin \phi_+ \sin^2 \phi_-}{\cos \phi_-} \right\} (\vec{\mathcal{N}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right] \\
& - \frac{2}{3} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \left[\sin \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \vec{\mathcal{N}}'_1 + \cos \phi_+ \cos \phi_- \frac{\cos \phi'_+}{\sin \phi'_+} (\vec{\mathcal{N}}'_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}') \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right. \\
& - \left. \sin \phi_+ \sin \phi_- \frac{\sin \phi'_-}{\cos \phi'_-} (\vec{\mathcal{N}}'_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}') \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} + i \sin \phi_+ \sin \phi_- \frac{\cos \phi'_+}{\sin \phi'_+} \mathcal{L}'_1 \hat{\mathbf{k}}' \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right] = \frac{M}{2i} \vec{\mathcal{N}}_2. \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

If we make i equal to j , we obtain the same equations as those derived for one flavour quark by Yaouanc et, al. [3]

The pion decay constant f_π is gotten by using the pion wave function for the center of mass momentum $\mathbf{P} \neq 0$ of pion according to the method of ref.[3] as follows:

$$f_\pi = \left[3 \int^{\Lambda_c} \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{M_\pi} \frac{2\mathcal{L}_1}{\sin \phi_p} \right]^{1/2}. \tag{46}$$

The Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation [11] is given as

$$-2Z_m m_u \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_0 = M_\pi^2 f_\pi^2, \quad (47)$$

where $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_0$ is the condensate which is calculated by setting $m_u = 0$.

Let us discuss numerical results. Quark masses, strength of linear potential, and Λ_{QCD} are fixed as $m_u = m_d = 5\text{MeV}$, $m_s = 190\text{MeV}$, $\sigma = 4.69\text{fm}^{-2}$, and $\Lambda_{QCD} = 200\text{MeV}/c$. The strength of the Coulombic potential α_0 and the lower cut-off momentum q_L included in the equations for $Z - 1$ and $Z_m - 1$ are freely varied so as to fit to empirical values of the pion decay constant, and condensation of u and s quarks. For $\alpha_0 = 5.05$ and $q_L = 0.86q_0$ we get $f_\pi = 93\text{MeV}$, $\langle \bar{u}u \rangle = -(263\text{MeV})^3$ and $\langle \bar{s}s \rangle = -(240\text{MeV})^3$. Factors of counter terms, $Z - 1$ and $Z_m - 1$ are -0.580 and -0.759 , respectively. Results of calculated meson masses are shown in Table 2. The pion mass is about one half of the experimental value. Also, other meson masses are about 200MeV lower than experimental ones. Single particle energies of quark and anti-quark are very large because of the infrared properties of the linear potential. Actually, those are divergent at the momentum $p = 0$. However, large parts of these single particle energies are canceled out by the interaction between the quark and the anti-quark. In the present model the effect of cancelation is too large. Also, the absolute value of factor $Z_m - 1$ is too large, so pion and kaon masses become much smaller. The reason why the absolute value of factor $Z_m - 1$ is large is that we need to choose the large coupling constant α_0 of the Coulombic interaction.

It is necessary to introduce such an intermediate range interaction as does not essentially contribute the quark-anti-quark interaction part for vector mesons although it increases the dynamical mass of single quark. The absolute value of factor $Z_m - 1$ reduces because the coupling constant α_0 of the Coulombic interaction can be chosen to be small by introducing this interaction. A candidate of this kind of interaction is the 't Hooft determinant interaction which mixes vacua of different winding number.

So, let us add this interaction to the Hamiltonian.

3 The 't Hooft determinant interaction

As a phenomenological interaction of intermediate range, we introduce the 't Hooft determinant interaction:

$$H_{det} = K \int d^3x \left\{ \det \left[\bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x})(1 - \gamma_5)\psi_j(\mathbf{x}) \right] + \det \left[\bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x})(1 + \gamma_5)\psi_j(\mathbf{x}) \right] \right\}, \quad (48)$$

where the determinant is over flavour indices.

We apply the same procedure as previous section to the Hamiltonian with the 't Hooft determinant interaction:

$$H = H_0 + H_{pot} + H_{det}. \quad (49)$$

The vacuum energy is

$$\varepsilon = \sum_f \varepsilon_f + \varepsilon_{det}, \quad (50)$$

where ε_f is flavour independent term and

$$\varepsilon_{det} = -8N_c(2N_c^2 + 3N_c + 1)K \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p^u \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p^d \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p^s. \quad (51)$$

Since the 't Hooft determinant interaction mixes quark flavours, the gap equation of θ_p^u and θ_p^s becomes coupled equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & p \sin \phi_p - m \cos \phi_p \\ & + \frac{2}{3} \sin \phi_p \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cos \phi_k \\ & - \frac{2}{3} \cos \phi_p \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \sin \phi_k \\ & - 8N_c(2N_c^2 + 3N_c + 1) \cos \phi_p \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_k \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_k^s \\ & = 0, \\ & p \sin \phi_p^s - m \cos \phi_p^s \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2}{3} \sin \phi_p^s \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cos \phi_k^s \\
& - \frac{2}{3} \cos \phi_p^s \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \sin \phi_k^s \\
& - 8N_c(2N_c^2 + 3N_c + 1) \cos \phi_p^s \left(\int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_k \right)^2 \\
& = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where

$$\phi_p \equiv 2(\theta_p^u + \delta_p^u), \tag{54}$$

$$\phi_p^s \equiv 2(\theta_p^s + \delta_p^s), \tag{55}$$

and we set $m_u = m_d$, then the gap equation of θ_p^d is the same equation as that of θ_p^u .

We adopt isospin eigenstates for meson states as follows:

$$\chi^{I=1} = \chi^{u\bar{d}}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi^{u\bar{u}} - \chi^{d\bar{d}}), \quad \chi^{d\bar{u}} \tag{56}$$

$$\chi^{I=0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi^{u\bar{u}} + \chi^{d\bar{d}}), \quad \chi^{s\bar{s}} \tag{57}$$

The Salpeter equation for isospin $I = 1$ and 0 states are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[H(\mathbf{k}), \chi^I(\mathbf{k}) \right] - \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \left[\Lambda_+(\mathbf{k}), \chi^I(\mathbf{k}') \right] \\
& + (-)^{I+1} 2(N_c + 1) K \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p^s \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{i=\pm} \left[\Lambda_+(\mathbf{k}), \gamma^0 \Gamma_i \chi^I(\mathbf{k}') \gamma^0 \Gamma_i \right] \\
& + (-)^{I+1} 2N_c(N_c + 1) K \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p^s \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{i=\pm} \text{Tr}(\chi^I(\mathbf{k}') \gamma^0 \Gamma_i) \left[\Lambda_+(\mathbf{k}), \gamma^0 \Gamma_i \right] \\
& - \delta_{I,0} 2\sqrt{2}(N_c + 1) K \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{i=\pm} \left[\Lambda_+(\mathbf{k}), \gamma^0 \Gamma_i \chi^{s\bar{s}}(\mathbf{k}') \gamma^0 \Gamma_i \right] \\
& - \delta_{I,0} 2\sqrt{2}N_c(N_c + 1) K \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{i=\pm} \text{Tr}(\chi^{s\bar{s}}(\mathbf{k}') \gamma^0 \Gamma_i) \left[\Lambda_+(\mathbf{k}), \gamma^0 \Gamma_i \right] \\
& = M\chi^I(\mathbf{k}),
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

$$\left[H^s(\mathbf{k}), \chi^{s\bar{s}}(\mathbf{k}) \right] - \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \left[\Lambda_+^s(\mathbf{k}), \chi^{s\bar{s}}(\mathbf{k}') \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \delta_{I,0} 2\sqrt{2}(N_c + 1)K \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{i=\pm} [\Lambda_+^s(\mathbf{k}), \gamma^0 \Gamma_i \chi^I(\mathbf{k}') \gamma^0 \Gamma_i] \\
& - \delta_{I,0} 2\sqrt{2}N_c(N_c + 1)K \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{i=\pm} \text{Tr}(\chi^I(\mathbf{k}') \gamma^0 \Gamma_i) [\Lambda_+^s(\mathbf{k}), \gamma^0 \Gamma_i] \\
& = M\chi^{s\bar{s}}(\mathbf{k}).
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

The Salpeter equation for isospin $I = 1/2$ state is also given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (E_1(\mathbf{k}) + E_2(\mathbf{k})) (\Lambda_+^{(1)}(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(\mathbf{k})\Lambda_+^{(2)}(\mathbf{k})) \\
& - \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') [\Lambda_+^{(1)}(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{k}') - \chi(\mathbf{k}')\Lambda_+^{(2)}(\mathbf{k})] \\
& + 2(N_c + 1)K \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p^{(3)} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{i=\pm} [\Lambda_+^{(1)}(\mathbf{k})\gamma^0 \Gamma_i \chi(\mathbf{k}') \gamma^0 \Gamma_i - \gamma^0 \Gamma_i \chi(\mathbf{k}') \gamma^0 \Gamma_i \Lambda_+^{(2)}(\mathbf{k})] \\
& + 2N_c(N_c + 1)K \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \sin \phi_p^{(3)} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{i=\pm} \text{Tr}(\chi(\mathbf{k}') \gamma^0 \Gamma_i) [\Lambda_+^{(1)}(\mathbf{k})\gamma^0 \Gamma_i - \gamma^0 \Gamma_i \Lambda_+^{(2)}(\mathbf{k})] \\
& = M\chi(\mathbf{k}),
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where $\Gamma_{\pm} \equiv 1 \pm \gamma_5$.

The gap equation and the Salpeter equation including 't Hooft determinant interaction have to be solved. We introduce a kind of cut-off factor e^{-p^2/p_0^2} to the momentum integral parts in which the 't Hooft determinant interaction appears. We choose the value of parameter p_0 around 0.6GeV/c because the 't Hooft interaction is adopted as the intermediate interaction. We will show results of two cases of $p_0 = 0.6\text{GeV}/c$ and $0.8\text{GeV}/c$. In each case, free parameters are the coupling constant of the 't Hooft determinant interaction K , the coupling constant of the Coulombic interaction α_0 , and the cut-off p_L . Those are determined so as to fit the pion decay constant and quark condensates.

Including the intermediate interaction, the dynamical mass of quark increases. Hence all meson masses increase and the mass of kaon, especially, increases 150MeV. However the calculated pion mass is underestimated 30MeV to the experimental value, since mass renormalization is more effective than overestimate of quark condensate.

The results are summarized in table 1 and table 2. For meson masses, the difference between calculated values and experimental values are within 70MeV.

4 Summary

In this paper, we constructed a phenomenological model which reproduces the meson spectra. At first, we considered the phenomenological potential model which includes the long-range linear potential and the short-range Coulombic potential. The meson masses were underestimated because the effect of mass renormalization was too large due to the large coupling constant of the Coulomb interaction which is needed to reproduce u and s quark condensate and the pion decay constant, and large parts of dynamical quark masses were canceled out by the residual interaction energy. Hence we introduced the 't Hooft determinant interaction as the intermediate interaction to increase the effective dynamical mass of quarks without contributing to the residual interaction of vector mesons.

The 't Hooft determinant interaction played a important role not to only η, η' mass difference, but other meson masses through DCSB. Setting parameters so as to fit quark condensates and the pion decay constant, the difference between calculated masses and experimental masses could be within 70MeV.

Adding the gluon current to the quark current in the Hamiltonian, according to ref.[1], we can calculate the glueball spectra in the same method.

To describe hadron-hadron scattering processes in this model, we need to describe moving meson. However it is too difficult to solve the Salpeter equation for non-zero center of mass momentum without any approximation. Hence we must make an effort to find an appropriate approximation.

References

- [1] Adam Szczepaniak, Eric S. Swanson, Chueng-Rhong Ji, and Stephen R. Cotanch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 2011 (1996).
- [2] S.L. Adler and A.C. Davis, *Nucl. Phys.* **B244**, 469 (1984).
- [3] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, S. Ono, O. Pène, and J.-C. Raynal, *Phys. Rev.* **D31**, 137 (1985).
- [4] Aleksandar Kocić, *Phys. Rev.* **D33**, 1785 (1986).
- [5] M. Hirata, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **77**, 939 (1987).
- [6] R. Alkofer and P.A. Amundsen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B306**, 305 (1988).
- [7] L. von Smekal, P.A. Amundsen, and R. Alkofer, *Nucl. Phys.* **A529**, 633 (1991).
- [8] H. Suganuma, S. Sasaki, and H. Toki, *Nucl. Phys.* **B435**, 207 (1995).
- [9] G. 't Hooft, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37**, 8 (1976).
- [10] J. Govaerts, J.E. Mandula, and J. Weyers, *Nucl. Phys.* **B237**, 59 (1984).
- [11] M. Gell-Mann, R. Oakes, and B. Renner, *Phys. Rev.* **175**, 2195 (1968).

Table captions

Table 1 Quark condensates, the pion decay constant, and renormalization constants

Table 2 Meson masses

Table 1

	$\langle \bar{u}u \rangle$ [MeV ³]	$\langle \bar{s}s \rangle$ [MeV ³]	f_π [MeV]	$Z_m - 1$	$Z - 1$
Empirical	$-(230 \pm 25)^3$	$-(200 \pm 30)^3$	93		
$\alpha_0 = 5.05$ $q_L = 0.86q_0$ $K = 0$	$-(263)^3$	$-(240)^3$	93	-0.759	-0.580
$\alpha_0 = 2.3$ $q_L = 0.8q_0$ $K = 0.0485, p_0 = 4.0$	$-(283)^3$	$-(219)^3$	92	-0.605	-0.344
$\alpha_0 = 2.4$ $q_L = 0.84q_0$ $K = 0.173, p_0 = 3.0$	$-(282)^3$	$-(227)^3$	92	-0.568	-0.329

Table 2

	π	ρ	K	K^*	η	η'	ω	ϕ
Exp.	140	770	498	892	547	958	782	1020
$\alpha_0 = 5.05$ $q_L = 0.86q_0$ $K = 0$	71	557	271	716				823
$\alpha_0 = 2.3$ $q_L = 0.8q_0$ $K = 0.0485, p_0 = 4.0$	103	769	420	867	473	930	774	951
$\alpha_0 = 2.4$ $q_L = 0.84q_0$ $K = 0.173, p_0 = 3.0$	107	790	438	915	494	1006	796	1017